## WORK SUMMARY AND DATA REPORT FOR THE COLLECTION OF EGGS FROM AMERICAN PEREGRINE FALCON, HUDSON RIVER, NEW YORK

### HUDSON RIVER NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT

#### HUDSON RIVER NATURAL RESOURCE TRUSTEES

STATE OF NEW YORK

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### **FINAL**

**DECEMBER 24, 2004** 

Available from:
U.S. Department of Commerce
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Hudson River NRDA, Lead Administrative Trustee
Damage Assessment Center, N/ORR31
1305 East-West Highway, Rm 10219
Silver Spring, MD 20910-3281







## WORK SUMMARY AND DATA REPORT FOR THE COLLECTION OF EGGS FROM AMERICAN PEREGRINE FALCON, HUDSON RIVER, NEW YORK



STATE OF NEW YORK

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

**DECEMBER 24, 2004** 

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Natural resources of the Hudson River have been contaminated through past and ongoing discharges of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). The Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees - New York State, the U.S. Department of Commerce, and the U.S. Department of the Interior - are conducting a natural resource damage assessment (NRDA) to assess and restore those natural resources injured by PCBs. This Work Summary and Data Report provides the results of a preliminary investigation of contamination of eggs of American peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinus anatum), a New York Statelisted endangered species, conducted pursuant to the NRDA.

In May and June 2002 five eggs from American peregrine falcon were collected from two locations on the Hudson River. The eggs were collected only when it became apparent that they were not going to hatch. No viable eggs were collected; only addled eggs were collected.

The contents of the peregrine falcon eggs were subsequently analyzed for various contaminants, including PCBs, dioxins and furans, various pesticides, polybrominated diphenylether compounds, and metals (mercury, cadmium, and lead). Percent lipid and percent moisture of each egg were also determined.

Total PCB concentrations (as sum of homologues), in four of the five peregrine falcon eggs, range from 5.29 parts per million (ppm) to 6.69 ppm on a wet weight basis (that is, not adjusted for moisture loss). The PCB value for the fifth egg - which was cracked and severely dessicated - is not included in this range due to the potential loss of egg contents prior to its collection.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 I	.O Introduction					. 1	
2.0	Sampling						. 2
	2.1 EGG COLLECTION						
	2.2 EGG PROCESSING						2
	2.3 EGG ANALYSES					/	2
	2.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL						3
3.0	Results						. 4
4.0	References	•					6
Apper	NDIX A: DATA VALIDATION REPORT, PEREGRINE FALCON EGG COLLECTION						
Appei	NDIX B: PEREGRINE FALCON EGG ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY DATA						

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Past and continuing discharges of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) have contaminated the natural resources of the Hudson River. The Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees - New York State, the U.S. Department of Commerce, and the U.S. Department of the Interior - are conducting a natural resource damage assessment (NRDA) to assess and restore those natural resources injured by PCBs (Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees 2002). This Work Summary and Data Report provides the results of a preliminary investigation of contamination of American peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinus anatum) eggs conducted pursuant to the NRDA.

The Hudson River and surrounding area support more than 150 species of birds, including waterfowl, wading birds, shorebirds, songbirds, and rare species such as the bald eagle, peregrine falcon, and osprey (Andrle and Carroll, 1988). Birds are an integral part of the ecosystem and provide a number of important ecosystem services such as seed distribution, plant pollination, and insect control. Birds are also an important source of prey to other species. Birds may be exposed to PCBs through direct ingestion of contaminated water, sediment, and soil, and through consumption of food items that contain PCBs derived from the Hudson River and its floodplain.

Peregrine falcons feed primarily on other birds, such as songbirds, shorebirds, and ducks; in urban areas their diet may include starlings and pigeons (USFWS 1999a). PCBs are known to be present in Hudson River birds upon which peregrine falcon may be feeding, including American coot, black duck, blue-winged teal, gadwall, green-winged teal, mallard and wood duck, and tree swallow (Kim et al. 1984, Kim et al. 1985, U.S. Geological Survey 1996, and Secord et al. 1999).

In 1970, the American peregrine falcon subspecies was listed as endangered under the Endangered Species Conservation Act of 1969 (the law preceding the Endangered Species Act of 1973), reflecting their critical biological status. On August 25, 1999, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) determined that the American peregrine falcon was no longer an endangered or threatened species pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (USFWS 1999b). The American peregrine falcon remains State-listed by New York State as endangered.

This Work Summary and Data Report, focused on peregrine falcon eggs, supplements the avian egg data from the Trustees' Hudson River avian egg exposure preliminary investigation which was conducted from April 2002 through June 2002. The Data Report for that investigation (Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees 2004) provides the results of chemical analysis of 168 egg samples from the following avian species: belted kingfisher (Ceryle alcyon), American robin (Turdus migratorius), Eastern phoebe (Sayornis phoebe), spotted sandpiper (Actitis macularia), red-winged blackbird (Agelains phoenicius), American woodcock (Scolopax minor), Eastern screech owl (Otus asio), common grackle (Quiscalus quiscula), northern rough-winged swallow (Stelgidopteryx serripennis), barn swallow (Hirundo rustica), and Eastern bluebird (Sialia sialis).

This preliminary investigation of peregrine falcon eggs was undertaken by the Trustees to assist in determining the extent to which peregrine falcon in the Hudson River are currently contaminated with PCBs, and to determine if additional pathway and injury assessment studies focused on this or other avian species should be conducted as part of the Hudson River NRDA. This work will be used to help determine whether future studies will be performed, and if so, to help in their design.

#### 2. SAMPLING

#### 2.1 EGG COLLECTION

Peregrine falcon eggs were collected by New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) personnel from two locations on the Hudson River:

- the Dunn Memorial Bridge which spans the Hudson River between the Cities of Albany and Rensselaer, New York; and,
- the Rip Van Winkle Bridge, which spans the Hudson River at Catskill, about 30 miles south of Albany, New York.

Two eggs were collected from the Rip Van Winkle Bridge site on May 30, 2002, and three eggs were collected from the Dunn Memorial Bridge site on June 11, 2002. Each collected egg was assigned a unique identification number and wrapped in bubble wrap. Once collected, the wrapped eggs were frozen, and on June 21, 2002, were delivered to the NYSDEC Hale Creek Field Station for processing.

All peregrine falcon eggs collected were addled (rotten). The eggs were collected only when it became apparent that they were not going to hatch. No viable eggs were collected.

The three eggs collected from the Dunn Memorial Bridge were part of a clutch of four eggs, none of which hatched, which were laid by peregrine falcons between March 26, 2002 and April 3, 2002, based on observations from a NYSDEC camera placed to monitor the nest. The peregrine pair had incubated those eggs from the time the clutch was complete on April 3, 2002 until the eggs were removed by a NYSDEC biologist on June 11, 2002, 69 days after the clutch was complete. The normal incubation period for peregrine falcon eggs is 28-32 days. One of the four eggs from the nest disappeared just prior to collection, thus only three intact eggs were collected from the nest.

The dates of egg laying of the two eggs collected from the Rip Van Winkle Bridge are not known. However it is known that on May 23, 2002, a NYSDEC biologist observed an approximately 10 day old chick, along with two unhatched eggs in the nest box. On May 30, 2002 the one chick, a week older, was again observed, as were the two unhatched eggs. Those two unhatched eggs were collected on that May 30, 2002 visit.

#### 2.2 EGG PROCESSING

In the laboratory the eggs were thawed and the egg contents collected into individual chemically clean jars, by a technician wearing nitrile gloves using chemically clean instruments. Egg contents weights were determined at that time. Each jar was labeled. Egg contents were stored (at a temperature of minus 20 degrees C) at the NYSDEC Hale Creek laboratory until they were shipped to the program analytical laboratory for chemical analysis.

#### 2.3 EGG ANALYSES

A total of five eggs were submitted to the analytical laboratory for analysis. The egg tissue was prepped, extracted, and analyzed using laboratory analytical methods noted in the Data Validation Report for the Peregrine Falcon Egg Collection (Data Validation Report, see Appendix A). Egg analysis was conducted in November and December 2002.

Four of the five egg samples were analyzed for PCB congeners, PCB homologues, Aroclors, organochlorine pesticides, polybrominated diphenylether (PBDE) compounds, PBDE homologues, dioxin/furan compounds, cadmium, lead, and mercury. Due to limited sample size, one egg (Rip Van Winkle Nest #1 ESU 1219a) was only analyzed for PCBs (congeners, homologues and Aroclors). Percent lipid and percent moisture of each egg were also determined.

#### 2.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL

Data validation was conducted by the Trustees and was based on the quality control criteria documented in the analytical methods noted in the Data Validation Report (Appendix A), National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA 1999), and National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (USEPA 1994).

The data packages submitted by the laboratory were reviewed for completeness.

For the organic analyses, the laboratory narrative indicated no problems with sample receipt. The laboratory submitted all of the necessary deliverables. Adequate corrective action processes were followed and anomalies were described in the case narrative.

For the inorganic analyses, the laboratory narrative indicated no problems with sample receipt. The laboratory submitted all of the necessary deliverables, with the exception of the raw data printout for the Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry analysis. Adequate corrective action processes were followed and anomalies were described in the case narrative.

For the dioxin/furan compounds, some data were qualified as "do-not-report" (DNR) to indicate which result (of duplicate results) should be used. Data qualified as DNR should not be used for any purpose, and are not included in the data tables reported in Appendix B. All other data, as qualified, are acceptable for use.

#### 3. RESULTS

Appendix B contains the peregrine falcon egg analytical chemistry data. A brief description of some of the features of the PCB data follows. Please note that the unit pg/g used in Appendix B is equivalent to parts per trillion (pptr). However, the unit used in the discussion of these data in this Data Report is parts per million (ppm).

Total PCB concentrations (as sum of PCB homologues, wet weight basis) of the peregrine egg samples are detailed in Table 1. PCB values in Table 1 are reported to three significant figures.

Table 1. Hudson River Peregrine Falcon Eggs Summary: Egg Weights, Total PCBs (as sum of homologues) and Notes on Egg Condition					
Peregrine Falcon Egg Location and Identifier	Egg Contents Weight (grams)	Total PCBs as sum of homologues (ppm, wet weight)	Notes		
Dunn Memorial Bridge #1 ESU 1203a	32.55	5.29	Egg contained nearly fully developed embryo.		
Dunn Memorial Bridge #2 ESU 1203b	30.24	6.31	Egg contained nearly fully developed embryo.		
Dunn Memorial Bridge #3 ESU 1203c	31.98	6.37	Egg contained nearly fully developed embryo.		
Rip Van Winkle Nest #1 ESU 1219a	3.1	34.2	Egg cracked and severely dessicated; no embryo observed.		
Rip Van Winkle Nest #2 ESU 1219b	34.9	6.69	Small crack in egg; visible embryo 6-7 mm long		

It is important to note that the values reported in Appendix B and Table 1 are on a wet weight basis, and have not been corrected for moisture loss. Correction for moisture loss is an adjustment to compensate for the loss of moisture in avian eggs (Stickel et al. 1973).

To correct for moisture loss, per the method of Stickel et al. (1973), a correction factor is determined as follows:

Correction factor (CF) = Egg contents weight (g)
$$Egg volume (cm3)$$

The contaminant value adjusted for moisture loss is then derived by multiplying the laboratory determined contaminant concentration by the correction factor.

For example:

$$CF = \frac{\text{Egg contents weight}}{\text{Egg volume}} = \frac{6.32 \text{ grams}}{6.67 \text{ cm}^3} = 0.9475$$

CF x PCB concentration = corrected PCB concentration

In this example, the PCB value corrected for moisture loss is 1,724 ppb.

However, for the peregrine falcon eggs that are the subject of this Data Report, individual egg volumes were not determined prior to egg contents collection, thus contaminant values cannot be corrected for moisture loss using the formula noted above. An alternative approach to estimate volume based on egg dimensions (Hoyt 1979), which uses typical lengths and breadths for eggs, is not feasible due to the variability in peregrine falcon egg length and breadth (Burnham et al. 2003, 1984), and the further lack of such data in this instance.

For this reason, the values reported in Appendix B and Table 1 are on a wet weight basis, uncorrected for moisture loss. As a result of not correcting for moisture loss, these values likely overstate the contaminant concentrations that would have been present in these eggs when they were freshly laid, prior to moisture loss during incubation and prior to collection.

Further, for peregrine falcon egg ESU 1219a from Rip Van Winkle Nest #1 the low percent moisture value indicates that the egg was severely dessicated. The egg was also cracked, had a low contents weight and no embryo was observed in the egg, suggesting that there may have been a loss of egg contents prior to collection. For that reason, although the contents of this egg were analyzed and the data are contained in Appendix B and Table 1, this egg is not considered further in this Data Report in reporting concentration ranges.

As noted in Table 1, total PCB concentrations (as sum of homologues), in four of the five peregrine falcon eggs collected and analyzed in this preliminary investigation, range from 5.29 ppm to 6.69 ppm on a wet weight basis (that is, not adjusted for moisture loss).

#### 4. REFERENCES

- Andrle, R.F. and J.R. Carroll. 1988. The Atlas of Breeding Birds in New York State. Federation of New York State Birds Club. IV. ISBN 0-8014-1691-4. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. Cornell University, Laboratory of Ornithology. Cornell University, NY.
- Burnham, W., C. Sandfort, and J.R. Belthoff. 2003. Peregrine falcon eggs: egg size, hatchling sex, and clutch sex ratios. *Condor* 105: 327-335.
- Burnham, W.A., J.H. Enderson, and T.J. Boardman. 1984. Variation in peregrine falcon eggs. *Auk* 101: 578-583.
- Hoyt, D.F. 1979. Practical methods of estimating volume and fresh weight of bird eggs. *Auk* 96: 73-77.
- Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees. 2002. Hudson River Natural Resource Damage Assessment Plan. September 2002. U.S. Department of Commerce, Silver Spring, MD.
- Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees. 2004. Data Report for the Collection of Eggs from Spotted Sandpipers, American Woodcock, Belted Kingfisher, American Robin, Red-Winged Blackbird, and Eastern Phoebe Associated With the Hudson River from Hudson Falls to Schodack Island, New York. September 17, 2004. U.S. Department of Commerce, Silver Spring, MD.
- Kim, K.S., M.J. Pastel, J.S. Kim, and W.B. Stone. 1984. Levels of polychlorinated biphenyls, DDE, and mirex in waterfowl collected in New York State, 1979-1980. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 13: 373-381.
- Kim, H.T., K.S. Kim, J.S. Kim, and W.B. Stone. 1985. Levels of polychlorinated biphenyls, DDE, and mirex in waterfowl collected in New York State, 1981-1982. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 14: 13-18.
- Secord, A.L., J.P. McCarty, K.R. Echols, J.C. Meadows, R.W. Gale, and D.E. Tillitt. 1999. Polychlorinated biphenyls and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlordibenzo-p-dioxin equivalents in tree swallows from the Upper Hudson River, New York State, USA. *Environ. Toxicol. & Chem.*: 2519-2525.
- Stickel, L.F., S.N. Wiemeyer, and L.J. Blus. 1973. Pesticide residues in eggs of wild birds: adjustment for loss of moisture and lipid. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 9:193-196.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1994. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, D.C. EPA540/R-94/013. 42 pp.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1999. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, D.C. EPA540/R-99/008. 118 pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999a. Fact Sheet. Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. September 1999. Available at: http://endangered.fws.gov/recovery/peregrin/factsheet.pdf
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999b. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Final Rule To Remove the American Peregrine Falcon From the Federal List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife, and To Remove the Similarity of Appearance Provision for Free-Flying Peregrines in the Conterminous United States. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, 50 CFR Part 17. Federal Register Vol. 64, No. 164, Wednesday, August 25, 1999.

U.S. Geological Survey. 1996. Congener-Specific Analysis of Polychlorinated Biphenyl Residues in Tree Swallow Chicks, Eggs and Other Biota from the Hudson River, W.U. 30096. Final Laboratory Report FY-97-30-01. Submitted to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Cortland, New York.

## APPENDIX A

## DATA VALIDATION REPORT PEREGRINE FALCON EGG COLLECTION

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT PEREGRINE FALCON EGG COLLECTION

### HUDSON RIVER NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT

#### HUDSON RIVER NATURAL RESOURCE TRUSTEES

STATE OF NEW YORK

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### Public Release Version\*

Version 1.2

**DECEMBER 31, 2003** 

Available from:

U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Hudson River NRDA, Lead Administrative Trustee Damage Assessment Center, N/ORR31 1305 East-West Highway, Rm 10219 Silver Spring, MD 20910-3281

\* Names of certain individuals and affiliations have been removed to maintain confidentiality.







### **DATA VALIDATION REPORT**

## HUDSON RIVER NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT Peregrine Falcon Egg Collection

#### **VERSION 1.2**

#### Prepared for:

State of New York
Department of Environmental Conservation

U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

U.S. Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service

December 31, 2003

#### **Project Narrative**

This report summarizes the results of data validation performed on peregrine falcon egg samples collected May 30 and June 11, 2002 in New York State and submitted for analysis by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). A report of the data review organized by analytical fraction follows.

Analytical methods are listed below. The egg from Rip Van Winkle Bridge Nest #1 was analyzed only for PCBs due to limited sample size.

Analysis	Method
Organochlorine Pesticides	High Resolution Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy
Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) Congeners	High Resolution Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy (EPA 1668a)
Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) Aroclor	Calculated <sup>1</sup>
Percent Lipids and Percent Moisture	Gravimetric
Polybrominated Diphenylether Compounds	High Resolution Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy
Dioxin/Furan Compounds	High Resolution Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy (EPA 1613 Ver. B)
Total Cadmium and Lead	Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) Mass Spectroscopy
Total Mercury	Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption (CVAA)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Total Aroclor results were calculated by using the PCB congener results and a laboratory-developed algorithm. Appendix C is a Technical Memorandum summarizing the information provided regarding the Aroclor results and recommendation to estimate all Aroclor results.

Data validation was based on the quality control (QC) criteria documented in the methods listed above, *National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review*, USEPA, 1999; and *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review*, USEPA, 1994.

Data qualifier definitions and reason codes are listed in **APPENDIX A. APPENDIX B** contains a Technical Memorandum regarding qualification of all Aroclor results. Data validation worksheets, which document the technical review, are on file.

#### **FULL DATA REVIEW**

Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) Congeners

Method: High Resolution Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy (EPA 1668a)

SDG: FWH02-1015

Analytical data for five peregrine falcon egg samples were reviewed. The samples were collected May 30 and June 11, 2002. The samples were analyzed for all 209 PCB congeners by high resolution mass spectroscopy. Percent moisture and percent lipids results were reported with these analyses. Refer to the table below for a complete listing of samples.

Sample ID	Matrix	Sample ID	Matrix
Dunn Memorial Bridge #1 ESU 1230a	Egg	Rip Van Winkle Nest #1 ESU 1219a	Egg
Dunn Memorial Bridge #2 ESU 1230b	Egg	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2 ESU 1219b	Egg
Dunn Memorial Bridge #3 ESU 1230c	Egg		

#### I. Data Package Completeness

The laboratory narrative indicated no problems with sample receipt. The laboratory submitted all of the necessary deliverables. Adequate corrective action processes were followed and anomalies were discussed in the case narrative. The laboratory was not initially provided with a field chain of custody (COC) form by the samplers, the form was subsequently provided by NYSDEC staff.

#### II. Technical Data Validation

The QC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

Technical Holding Times and Sample Receipt GC/MS Instrument Performance Check Initial Calibration (ICAL) Continuing Verification (CVER)

Continuing Verification (CVER)

**Isomer Specificity** 

1 Blanks

Labeled Compound Recovery

Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR)

Compound Identification

2 Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits

#### **Blanks**

Several compounds were detected in the method blank. As these compounds were either not detected in the samples or were at concentrations greater than five times the amount found in the blank, no action was taken.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Quality control results are discussed below, but no data were qualified.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Quality control outliers that impact the reported data were noted. Data qualifiers were issued as discussed below.

#### **Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits**

Several compound results were flagged 'R' by the laboratory to indicate the ion abundance ratio criteria were not met. Since the ion abundance ratio is the primary identification criterion for high resolution mass spectroscopy, an outlier indicates that the reported result may be a false positive. Due to this, all 'R'-flagged results were qualified as not detected (U-14).

Several compounds were detected at concentrations greater than the upper calibration range of the instrument. In each case, the sample was reanalyzed at a dilution and the compound concentration was within the linear range. The laboratory only reported one result for each compound. No action was necessary.

#### **Overall Assessment**

Laboratory accuracy was acceptable as demonstrated by the recovery values for the OPR.

Laboratory precision was not evaluated, as replicate analyses were not performed.

Data were qualified as not detected due to ion ratio criteria outliers.

All data, as qualified, are acceptable for use.

#### **FULL DATA REVIEW**

**Organochlorine Pesticides** 

Method: High Resolution Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy

SDG: FWH02-1015

Analytical data for four peregrine falcon egg samples were reviewed. The samples were collected May 30 and June 11, 2002. The samples were analyzed for organochlorine pesticide compounds using high resolution mass spectroscopy analysis. Refer to the table below for a complete listing of samples.

Sample ID	Matrix
Dunn Memorial Bridge #1 ESU 1230a	Egg
Dunn Memorial Bridge #2 ESU 1230b	Egg
Dunn Memorial Bridge #3 ESU 1230c	Egg
Rip Van Winkle Nest #2 ESU 1219b	Egg

#### I. Data Package Completeness

The laboratory narrative indicated no problems with sample receipt. The laboratory submitted all of the necessary deliverables. Adequate corrective action processes were followed and anomalies were discussed in the case narrative. The laboratory was not initially provided with a field chain of custody (COC) form by the samplers, the form was subsequently provided by NYSDEC staff.

#### II. Technical Data Validation

The QC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

Technical Holding Times and Sample Receipt GC/MS Instrument Performance Check Initial Calibration (ICAL) Continuing Verification (CVER) Isomer Specificity

- 1 Blanks
- 2 Labeled Compound Recovery Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR) Compound Identification
- 2 Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits

#### **Blanks**

Several compounds were detected in the method blank. As these compounds were either not detected in the samples or were at concentrations greater than five times the amount found in the blank, no action was taken.

Quality control results are discussed below, but no data were qualified.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Quality control outliers that impact the reported data were noted. Data qualifiers were issued as discussed below.

#### **Labeled Compound Recovery**

The percent recovery (%R) values for the labeled endrin standard were greater than the laboratory control limit of 150% in Samples Dunn Memorial Bridge #3 ESU 1230c and Rip Van Winkle Nest #2 ESU 1219b. Endrin was detected in both samples, the results were estimated (J-19) with a possible high bias. Endrin aldehyde and endrin ketone were not detected in either sample. As the %R outlier indicates a possible high bias, the detection limits were not qualified.

#### **Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits**

Several compound results were flagged 'R' by the laboratory to indicate the ion abundance ratio criteria were not met. Since the ion abundance ratio is the primary identification criterion for high resolution mass spectroscopy, an outlier indicates that the reported result may be a false positive. Due to this, all 'R'-flagged results were qualified as not detected (U-14).

Several compounds were detected at concentrations greater than the upper calibration range of the instrument. In each case, the sample was reanalyzed at a dilution and the compound concentration was within the linear range. The laboratory only reported one result for each compound. No action was necessary.

#### **Overall Assessment**

Laboratory accuracy was acceptable as demonstrated by the recovery values for the OPR.

Laboratory precision was not evaluated, as replicate analyses were not performed.

Data were qualified as not detected due to ion ratio criteria outliers. Data were estimated due to the labeled compound percent recovery outliers.

All data, as qualified, are acceptable for use.

#### **FULL DATA REVIEW**

**Dioxin/Furan Compounds** 

Method: High Resolution Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy

(EPA 1613 Ver. B)

SDG: FWH02-1015

Analytical data for four peregrine falcon egg samples were reviewed. The samples were collected May 30 and June 11, 2002. The samples were analyzed using high resolution mass spectroscopy analysis. Refer to the table below for a complete listing of samples.

Sample ID	Matrix
Dunn Memorial Bridge #1 ESU 1230a	Egg
Dunn Memorial Bridge #2 ESU 1230b	Egg
Dunn Memorial Bridge #3 ESU 1230c	Egg
Rip Van Winkle Nest #2 ESU 1219b	Egg

#### I. Data Package Completeness

The laboratory narrative indicated no problems with sample receipt. The laboratory submitted all of the necessary deliverables. Adequate corrective action processes were followed and anomalies were discussed in the case narrative. The laboratory was not initially provided with a field chain of custody (COC) form by the samplers, the form was subsequently provided by NYSDEC staff.

#### II. Technical Data Validation

The QC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

Technical Holding Times and Sample Receipt GC/MS Instrument Performance Check Initial Calibration (ICAL) Continuing Verification (CVER) Isomer Specificity Blanks

 Labeled Compound Recovery
 Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR)
 Compound Identification

 Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits

Quality control results are discussed below, but no data were qualified.

#### Blanks

Several compounds were detected in the method blank. As these compounds were either not detected in the samples or were at concentrations greater than five times the amount found in the blank, no action was taken.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Quality control outliers that impact the reported data were noted. Data qualifiers were issued as discussed below.

#### **Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits**

All positive results for 2378-TCDF were confirmed on a DB-225 column as required by the method. The 2378-TCDF results on the DB-5 column were qualified as do-not-report (DNR-14), and the results from the DB-225 column should be used instead.

The laboratory assigned an R-flag to the 123789-HxCDF value in Sample Rip Van Winkle Nest #2 ESU 1219b to indicate the ion ratio criterion was not met. Since the ion abundance ratio is the primary identification criterion for high resolution mass spectroscopy, an outlier indicates that the reported result may be a false positive. Due to this, the result was qualified as not detected (U-14).

#### **Overall Assessment**

As determined by this evaluation, the laboratory followed the specified method. Laboratory accuracy was acceptable as demonstrated by the recovery values for the OPR and labeled compounds. Laboratory precision was not evaluated, as replicate analyses were not performed.

Data were qualified as do-not-report due to indicate which result (of duplicate results) should be used. Data were qualified as not detected due to ion ratio criteria outliers.

Data qualified as do-not-report should not be used for any purpose. All other data, as qualified, are acceptable for use.

#### **FULL DATA REVIEW**

Polybrominated Diphenylether (PBDE) Compounds

Method: High Resolution Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy

SDG: FWH02-1015

Analytical data for four peregrine falcon egg samples were reviewed. The samples were collected May 30 and June 11, 2002. The samples were analyzed for PBDE compounds using high resolution mass spectroscopy analysis. Refer to the table below for a complete listing of samples.

Sample ID	Matrix
Dunn Memorial Bridge #1 ESU 1230a	Egg
Dunn Memorial Bridge #2 ESU 1230b	Egg
Dunn Memorial Bridge #3 ESU 1230c	Egg
Rip Van Winkle Nest #2 ESU 1219b	Egg

#### I. Data Package Completeness

The laboratory narrative indicated no problems with sample receipt. The laboratory submitted all of the necessary deliverables. Adequate corrective action processes were followed and anomalies were discussed in the case narrative. The laboratory was not initially provided with a field chain of custody (COC) form by the samplers, the form was subsequently provided by NYSDEC staff

#### II. Technical Data Validation

The QC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

Technical Holding Times and Sample Receipt GC/MS Instrument Performance Check Initial Calibration (ICAL) Continuing Verification (CVER) Isomer Specificity

- 1 Blanks
- Labeled Compound Recovery
   Ongoing Precision Recovery (OPR)
   Compound Identification
- 2 Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits

#### **Blanks**

Several compounds were detected in the method blank. As these compounds were either not detected in the samples or were at concentrations greater than five times the amount found in the blank, no action was taken.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Quality control results are discussed below, but no data were qualified.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Quality control outliers that impact the reported data were noted. Data qualifiers were issued as discussed below.

#### **Labeled Compound Recovery**

All samples were analyzed at a 50x or higher dilution factor. Due to this, the labeled compounds added to the sample prior to extraction were diluted out, and no recoveries could be calculated. To be able to calculate the concentration of the target analytes, the laboratory added an additional aliquot of the labeled compounds to the sample extracts. However, as these labeled compounds were not taken through the extraction/clean-up process, the additional labeled compounds provide no information regarding the extraction efficiency or analytical accuracy.

Due to this, all target compound results were estimated (J/UJ). The direction of bias, if any, could not be determined.

#### **Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits**

Several compound results were flagged 'R' by the laboratory to indicate the ion abundance ratio criteria were not met. Since the ion abundance ratio is the primary identification criterion for high resolution mass spectroscopy, an outlier indicates that the reported result may be a false positive. Due to this, all 'R'-flagged results were qualified as not detected (U-14).

As noted in the **Labeled Compound Recovery** section above, all samples were analyzed at elevated dilution factors. Only the results from the dilutions were reported. Due to this, all reported limits are elevated. As no target reporting limits were specified, no action was taken.

#### **Overall Assessment**

Laboratory accuracy was acceptable as demonstrated by the recovery values for the OPR.

Laboratory precision was not evaluated, as replicate analyses were not performed.

Data were qualified as not-detected due to ion ratio criteria outliers. Also, data were estimated due to the lack of usable labeled compound recovery results.

All data, as qualified, are acceptable for use.

#### **FULL DATA REVIEW**

**Total Cadmium, Lead, and Mercury** 

Method: ICP-MS and CVAA

SDG: FWH02-1015

Analytical data for four peregrine falcon egg samples were reviewed. The samples were collected May 30 and June 11, 2002. Refer to the table below for a complete listing of samples.

Sample ID	Matrix
Dunn Mem Bridge #1	Egg
Dunn Mem Bridge #2	Egg
Dunn Mem Bridge #3	Egg
Rip Van Winkle #2	Egg

#### I. Data Package Completeness

The laboratory narrative indicated no problems with sample receipt. The laboratory submitted all of the necessary deliverables, with the exception of the raw data printout for the ICP-MS analysis. Adequate corrective action processes were followed and anomalies were discussed in the case narrative.

#### II. Technical Data Validation

The QC requirements that were reviewed are listed below.

Technical Holding Times and Sample Receipt Blank Spikes

Initial Calibration (ICAL) Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates (MS/MSD)

Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

Laboratory Duplicates

1 Blanks (Instrument and Method)

Internal Standards

2 Certified Reference Materials (CRM) 1 Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits

<sup>1</sup> Quality control results are discussed below, but no data were qualified.

#### **Blanks**

Mercury and cadmium were detected at levels greater than the estimated method detection limit (EMDL) in some instrument blanks. To evaluate the effect on the sample data, action levels of 5x the blank concentrations were established. For mercury, all sample results were greater than the action level. There were no positive results for cadmium in the field samples. No qualification of sample results based on blank contamination was necessary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Quality control outliers that impact the reported data were noted. Data qualifiers were issued as discussed below.

Four preparation blanks were analyzed. The average concentrations were all less than the EMDL.

#### **Certified Reference Materials**

The laboratory analyzed three different CRMs. NIST 2976 (mussel tissue) was analyzed for cadmium and lead, NRC DORM-2 (dogfish muscle) was analyzed for mercury, and NIST 8415 (powdered egg) was analyzed for all three elements.

The recoveries for NIST 2976 and NRC DORM-2 were within the certified acceptance limits. For NIST 8415, the mercury recovery (84.3%) was acceptable. The cadmium value reported for this CRM is not certified and is for informational purposes only. The value is also less than the laboratory reporting limit and so the laboratory result was not evaluated for this analyte. The recovery for lead (33.6%) was significantly less than the lower control limit of 80.3%. Because this CRM most closely approximates the matrix of the falcon eggs, the field samples were qualified based on the lead recovery. All lead results were estimated (J-10/UJ-10) to indicate a potential low bias.

#### **Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits**

Sample results were reported on an 'as received basis'. Percent solid and percent lipid information was submitted with the data.

The laboratory blank-corrected all analytical results. The instrument concentration was corrected for the average of four instrument blanks analyzed prior to the samples. The final sample concentration was then corrected for the average of four preparation blanks.

#### **Overall Assessment**

As determined by this evaluation, the laboratory followed the specified methods. Laboratory accuracy was acceptable as demonstrated by the matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) and CRM recovery results, with the exception of low recovery indicated for lead. Laboratory precision was also acceptable as demonstrated by the laboratory duplicate and MS/MSD relative percent difference values.

Results for lead were estimated because of a low CRM recovery.

All data, as qualified, are acceptable for use.

# APPENDIX A DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS REASON CODES

#### **DATA VALIDATION QUALIFIER CODES**

#### **NATIONAL FUNCTIONAL GUIDELINES**

The following definitions provide brief explanations of the qualifiers assigned to results in the data review process.

U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.	
J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.	
N	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification".	
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.	
UJ	The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.	
R	The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.	
The following qualifier that may also be assigned in the data review process:		
DNR	Do-not-report. Duplicate results exist due to reanalyses. This result should not be reported.	

### **DATA QUALIFIER REASON CODES**

1	Holding Times	
2	Sample Preservation	
3	Sample Custody	
4	Missing Deliverables	
5A	Calibration (initial)	
5B	Calibration (continuing)	
6	Field Blanks	
7	Laboratory Blanks	
8	Matrix Spike	
9	Precision (Duplicate, or Matrix Spike Duplicate)	
10	Laboratory Control Sample	
11	Detection Limit	
12	Standards	
13	Surrogates	
14	Other	
15	Furnace QC	
16	ICP Serial Dilution	
17	Chemical Recoveries	
18	Trip Blanks	
19	Internal Standards	
20	Linear Range Exceeded	
21	Potential False Positives	

## APPENDIX B TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

#### MEMORANDUM

**DATE:** 11/24/03

**SUBJECT:** Peregrine Falcon Egg

**Total Aroclor Values** 

The laboratory reported both total homologue results and total Aroclor results for the PCB analysis of the peregrine falcon eggs. **Table 1** is a comparison of these results.

The total homologue results are based on a summation of analytical results of all 209 PCB congeners as determined by USEPA method 1668, revision A. The total Aroclor result would usually be based on the recognition of the pattern of congeners unique to each Aroclor. However, no recognizable pattern is present in the falcon eggs, thus the laboratory reported the samples as a mixture of Aroclors 1242, 1254, and 1260. The laboratory calculated these Aroclor values by summing the concentrations of congeners characteristic of the specific Aroclor and multiplying that sum by a "quantification factor". The following are the congeners and quantification factors used:

Aroclor	Congeners	Quantification Factor
1242	8, 30/18, 31, 28/20	3.0
1254	83/99, 108/119/86/97/128/87	8.0
1260	183/185, 180/193, 170	5.0

Note: Congener numbers separated by a slash denote co-elutions.

A total Aroclor value is then determined by summing the calculated concentrations of the three Aroclors.

The selection of the characteristic congeners and the determination of the quantification factors are based on published data in the following references: Complete Characterization of Polychlorinated Biphenyl Congeners in Commercial Aroclor and Clophen Mixtures by Multidimensional Gas Chromatography-Electron Capture Detection, (Schulz, Petrick and Duinker, 1989. Environ. Sci. & Tech., Vol 23, No. 7, pp852-859), and Complete PCB Congener Distributions for 17 Aroclor Mixtures Determined by Three HRGC Systems Optimized for Comprehensive, Qualitative, Congener Specific Analysis, (Frame, Cochran, Bowadt, 1996. J Chrom Resol Chromatogr Vol 19.), plus analyses performed using the laboratory specific analytical column and methods. The laboratory's data set supporting the development of these factors was not provided. Because the underlying data for the Aroclors is not available for review, all Aroclor results should be considered estimates.

TABLE 1

Sample	Total Homologues µg/kg wet weight	Total Aroclors μg/kg wet weight	Percent Difference
Dunn Memorial Bridge #1 ESU 1230a	5,290	9,090	71.8 %
Dunn Memorial Bridge #2 ESU 1230b	6,310	11,295	79.0 %
Dunn Memorial Bridge #3 ESU 1230c	6,370	10,572	66.0 %
Rip Van Winkle Nest#1 ESU1291a	34,200	46,350	35.5 %
Rip Van Winkle Nest#2 ESU1291b	6,690	8,057	20.4 %

Percent difference = | (Total Homologue – Total Aroclor) / (Total Homologue) |

# APPENDIX B

# PEREGRINE FALCON EGG ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY DATA

CLIENT ID	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1 ESU 1203a	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2 ESU 1203b	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3 ESU 1203c	Rip Van Winkle Nest #1 ESU 1219a <sup>1</sup>	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2 ESU 1219b	SPIKED MATRIX
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-4	L5214-5	WG7272-103
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	% REC
Percent Moisture	85	84	85	30	82	
Percent Lipids	3.2	2.8	3.2		5.5	
,	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	
PCB-1	1.21 U	1.03	0.629 U	3.74	2.03	87.6
PCB-2	0.846 U	0.535 U	0.498 U	1.19 U	0.901 U	
PCB-3	0.708 U	0.478 U	0.408 U	2.34 U	1.39 U	84.2
PCB-4	1.55 U	1.48 U	2.59 U	6.65 U	3.87 U	97
PCB-5	0.960 U	1.02 U	1.86 U	5.04 U	2.83 U	
PCB-6	0.917 U	0.973 U	1.74 U	4.73 U	2.65 U	
PCB-7	0.916 U	0.972 U	1.72 U	4.66 U	2.61 U	
PCB-8	0.98	1.21 U	1.63 U	7.31	2.89 U	
PCB-9	0.898 U	0.953 U	1.69 U	4.58 U	2.57 U	
PCB-10	0.978 U	1.04 U	1.74 U	4.73 U	2.65 U	
PCB-11	1.01 U	1.07 U	1.86 U	5.05 U	2.83 U	
PCB-12/13	1.75 U	1.05 U	1.83 U	4.97 U	2.79 U	
PCB-14	0.947 U	1.00 U	1.78 U	4.82 U	2.71 U	
PCB-15	15.9	15.7	18.5	2530	493	93.8
PCB-16	1.66 U	1.10 U	1.08 U	3.00 U	1.58 U	
PCB-17	1.46 U	1.14	0.973	7.19	3.29	
PCB-18/30	1.43	1.26	1.37	5.38	2.61	
PCB-19	1.66 U	1.03 U	0.998 U	2.52 U	1.37 U	100
PCB-20/28	2490	2440	2960	471000	86900	
PCB-21/33	1.31 U	0.878 U	0.920 U	2.27 U	0.983 U	
PCB-22	1.40 U	0.937 U	0.979 U	2.42 U	1.05 U	
PCB-23	1.39 U	0.931 U	0.929 U	2.29 U	0.992 U	
PCB-24	1.07 U	0.708 U	0.691 U	1.91 U	1.01 U	
PCB-25	1.23 U	0.828 U	0.847 U	2.09 U	0.905 U	
PCB-26/29	2.79	2.87	2.09	2.23 U	0.965 U	
PCB-27	1.03 U	0.677 U	0.652 U	1.80 U	0.949 U	
PCB-31	14.9	15.1	25.3	11400	2080	
PCB-32	1.30 U	0.870 U	0.867 U	2.14 U	0.927 U	
PCB-34	1.35 U	0.903 U	0.928 U	2.29 U	0.992 U	

IQ = Interpretive Qualifier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Analyzed for PCBs only due to limited sample size.

CLIENT ID	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1 ESU 1203a	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2 ESU 1203b	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3 ESU 1203c	Rip Van Winkle Nest #1 ESU 1219a <sup>1</sup>	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2 ESU 1219b	SPIKED MATRIX
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-4	L5214-5	WG7272-103
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	% REC
Percent Moisture	85	84	85	30	82	
Percent Lipids	3.2	2.8	3.2	25	5.5	
	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	
PCB-35	1.44 U	0.963 U	0.966 U	2.38 U	1.03 U	
PCB-36	1.28 U	0.855 U	0.865 U	2.13 U	0.924 U	
PCB-37	50.2	47.8	57.2	2960	592	109
PCB-38	1.36 U	0.911 U	0.925 U	255	59.3	
PCB-39	1.3 U	0.870 U	0.880 U	98.2	19.4	
PCB-40/41/71	1.69 U	1.14 U	0.863 U	2.53 U	1.58 U	
PCB-42	1.84 U	1.24 U	0.932 U	2.74 U	1.71 U	
PCB-43	1.97 U	1.33 U	0.966 U	2.84 U	1.77 U	
PCB-44/47/65	1620	1730	1960	664000	126000	
PCB-45/51	1.57 U	1.06 U	0.820 U	2.41 U	1.51 U	
PCB-46	1.87 U	1.26 U	0.985 U	2.90 U	1.81 U	
PCB-48	4.86	4.53	3.5	2.46 U	1.54 U	
PCB-49/69	10.4	9.6	14.4	3460	581	
PCB-50/53	1.53 U	1.03 U	0.797 U	2.34 U	1.46 U	
PCB-52	5.6	5.09	7.47	1300	213	
PCB-54	1.29 U	0.844 U	0.617 U	1.76 U	1.15 U	98.5
PCB-55	1.01 U	0.921 U	1.16 U	4.44 U	0.855 U	
PCB-56	1.01 U	0.918 U	1.17 U	4.46 U	0.860 U	
PCB-57	0.957 U	0.873 U	1.10 U	71.9	0.812 U	
PCB-58	0.926 U	0.845 U	1.06 U	234	53.7	
PCB-59/62/75	46.6	47.4	57	27600	5420	
PCB-60	1390	1360	1710	4.53 U	24800	
PCB-61/70/74/76	24700	24900	30500	544000	102000	
PCB-63	682	717	848	3.96 U	0.763 U	
PCB-64	1.31 U	0.927	2.24	671	97.1	
PCB-66	3340	3350	4250	481000	91100	
PCB-67	0.871 U	0.795 U	0.969 U	3.70 U	0.713 U	
PCB-68	133	135	159	3.83 U	0.737 U	
PCB-72	0.897 U	0.818 U	1.02 U	3.89 U	0.750 U	

IQ = Interpretive Qualifier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Analyzed for PCBs only due to limited sample size.

	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3	Rin Van Winkle Nest #1	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2	SPIKED
CLIENT ID	ESU 1203a	ESU 1203b	ESU 1203c	ESU 1219a <sup>1</sup>	ESU 1219b	MATRIX
LAB ID	L5214-1	L50 12035 L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-4	L5214-5	WG7272-103
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	% REC
Percent Moisture	85		85	30 pg/g (wet)	82 pg/g (wet)	70 KLC
Percent Lipids	3.2		3.2		5.5	
r ercent Lipius	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	
PCB-73	1.20 U	0.808 U	0.639 U	1.88 U	1.17 U	
PCB-77	171	166	188	4210	850	93.5
PCB-78	1.10 U	1.00 U	1.19 U	4.55 U	0.877 U	75.5
PCB-79	0.889 U	0.811 U	0.983 U	3.75 U	72.3	
PCB-80	0.914 U	0.834 U	1.07 U	4.08 U	0.786 U	
PCB-81	67	69.3	80.3	1230	271	92.9
PCB-82	4.61 U	3.44 U	1.74 U	20.3 U	74.9	72.7
PCB-83/99	51600	60800	57900	1250000	228000	
PCB-84	4.27 U	3.18 U	1.67 U	19.4 U	7.85 U	
PCB-85/116/117	3910	3930	4730	15.1 U	35500	
PCB-86/87/97/108/119/125	163	184	218	81300	15600	
PCB-88/91	3.67 U	2.74 U	1.44 U	745	118	
PCB-89	3.99 U	2.97 U	1.57 U	18.3 U	7.38 U	
PCB-90/101/113	294	302	354	78500	37900	
PCB-92	26.4	31.3	34.7	22600	4190	
PCB-93/95/98/100	28.6	27.4	38.4 U	18400	3770	
PCB-94	3.82 U	2.84 U	1.55 U	18.0 U	7.27 U	
PCB-96	8.66 U	4.13 U	1.16 U	13.1 U	31.1 U	
PCB-103	3.23 U	2.41 U	1.29 U	15.0 U	6.08 U	
PCB-104	8.87 U	3.99 U	1.11 U	6.87 U	17.0 U	95.3
PCB-105	21300	20800	25400	393000	72600	102
PCB-106	0.762 U	0.745 U	0.766 U	7.91 U	1.98 U	102
PCB-107/124	0.747 U	0.730 U	0.796 U	1490	244 U	
PCB-109	2250	2110	2320	87100	17800	
PCB-110/115	2040	2050	2460	13.4 U	12500	
PCB-111	99.2	102	119	6620	1370	
PCB-112	2.98 U	2.22 U	1.12 U	13.0 U	5.25 U	
PCB-114	4550	4480	5580	51500	10400	104
PCB-118	99700	118000	129000	1770000	325000	105

IQ = Interpretive Qualifier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Analyzed for PCBs only due to limited sample size.

CLIENT ID	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1 ESU 1203a	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2 ESU 1203b	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3 ESU 1203c	Rip Van Winkle Nest #1 ESU 1219a <sup>1</sup>	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2 ESU 1219b	SPIKED MATRIX
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-4	L5214-5	WG7272-103
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	% REC
Percent Moisture	85	84	85	30	82	
Percent Lipids	3.2	2.8	3.2	25	5.5	
	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	
PCB-120	270	268	321	12600	2550	
PCB-121	6.43	5.83	1.10 U	3790	862	
PCB-122	0.832 U	0.813 U	0.857 U	8.84 U	2.21 U	
PCB-123	1490	1520	1930	25500	5310	107
PCB-126	197	195	245	3410	638	103
PCB-127	291	282	372	6640	1460	
PCB-128/166	52400	64100	84800	446000	81900	
PCB-129/138/160/163	534000	643000	655000	4100000	803000	
PCB-130	1520	1610	1810	95000	19800	
PCB-131	1.24 U	0.972 U	1.02 U	3.09 U	1.13 U	
PCB-132	1.27 U	0.994 U	1.04 U	393	1.15 U	
PCB-133	3800	3960	4980	110000	22900	
PCB-134/143	1.25 U	0.977 U	1.03 U	3.11 U	1.14 U	
PCB-135/151/154	469	504	619	60400	12800	
PCB-136	2.99 U	2.28 U	1.45 U	8.08 U	3.01 U	
PCB-137	7870	8210	9910	124000	25300	
PCB-139/140	964	1030	1300	23500	5260	
PCB-141	1.20 U	0.940 U	0.972 U	2.93 U	1320 U	
PCB-142	1.24 U	0.970 U	1.05 U	3.16 U	1.16 U	
PCB-144	4.74	4.22 U	4.81	370 U	116	
PCB-145	3.02 U	2.30 U	1.47 U	8.19 U	3.05 U	
PCB-146	61400	67200	89900	1040000	220000	
PCB-147/149	415	450	523	68800	14500	
PCB-148	6.52	6.02	7.38 U	11.1 U	520	
PCB-150	2.98 U	2.27 U	1.41 U	7.84 U	2.92 U	
PCB-152	2.96 U	2.26 U	1.40 U	7.80 U	2.91 U	
PCB-153/168	1020000	1270000	1410000	6940000	1530000	
PCB-155	8.35	7.01	9.44	3560	714	96.1
PCB-156/157	70100	69000	78100	379000	72400	92.5

IQ = Interpretive Qualifier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Analyzed for PCBs only due to limited sample size.

	Dunn Mamarial Bridge #1	Dunn Mamarial Dridge #2	Dunn Mamarial Bridge #2	Din Van Winklo Nost #1	Din Van Winkle Neet #2	CDIVED
CLIENT ID	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3	ESU 1219a <sup>1</sup>	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2	SPIKED
CLIENT ID	ESU 1203a	ESU 1203b	ESU 1203c		ESU 1219b	MATRIX
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-4	L5214-5	WG7272-103
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	% REC
Percent Moisture	85		85	30	82	
Percent Lipids	3.2		3.2		5.5	
	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	
PCB-158	13000	13300	15200	207000	39500	
PCB-159	4.47 U	0.794 U	0.756 U	542	116	
PCB-161	0.912 U	0.713 U	0.745 U	2.25 U	0.824 U	
PCB-162	514	481	542	3580	890	
PCB-164	4.48 U	0.796 U	0.726 U	2.19 U	0.802 U	
PCB-165	91.1	84	105	7950	1750	
PCB-167	19400	19000	21800	156000	30300	95.3
PCB-169	93.6 U	656 U	685 U	1920 U	573 U	92.1
PCB-170	384000	469000	449000	1290000	219000	
PCB-171/173	76700	75800	87400	323000	58500	
PCB-172	49300	48500	57800	271000	74100	
PCB-174	1.44 U	1.79 U	4.44 U	14800	2840	
PCB-175	2300	2440	2680	28500	5550	
PCB-176	0.986 U	1.22 U	3.04 U	257	80.8	
PCB-177	23200	22700	27200	373000	88600	
PCB-178	22900	23700	27900	241000	73400	
PCB-179	0.950 U	1.17 U	2.94 U	2.33 U	11.5 U	
PCB-180/193	1130000	1410000	1320000	4560000	782000	
PCB-181	888	884	1000	15700	2830	
PCB-182	67.5	1.74 U	4.15 U	7600	1390	
PCB-183/185	218000	271000	246000	994000	167000	
PCB-184	21.1 U	1.14 U	2.97 U	4250	761	
PCB-186	1.02 U	1.26 U	3.14 U	2.49 U	1.03 U	
PCB-187	173000	212000	202000	2190000	364000	
PCB-188	12.5	11.8	2.01 U	1110	206	104
PCB-189	14100	13900	15000	47900	9600	98.9
PCB-190	73000	90600	83600	248000	63600	
PCB-191	17200	17100	18600	50400	9640	
PCB-192	1.25 U	1.54 U	3.74 U	2.97 U	1.23 U	

IQ = Interpretive Qualifier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Analyzed for PCBs only due to limited sample size.

	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3	Rip Van Winkle Nest #1	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2	SPIKED
CLIENT ID	ESU 1203a	ESU 1203b	ESU 1203c	ESU 1219a <sup>1</sup>	ESU 1219b	MATRIX
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-4	L5214-5	WG7272-103
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	% REC
Percent Moisture	85	84	85	30	82	
Percent Lipids	3.2	2.8	3.2	25	5.5	
	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	
PCB-194	259000	296000	321000	835000	150000	
PCB-195	62500	75000	84400	193000	41600	
PCB-196	121000	132000	120000	289000	71200	
PCB-197/200	7270	7130	8040	24400	4.97 U	
PCB-198/199	172000	211000	173000	833000	141000	
PCB-201	5380	5390	5960	39700	8030	
PCB-202	12800	12300	13400	94800	19800	90
PCB-203	193000	239000	183000	599000	99700	
PCB-204	6.85	8.12	3.78 U	400	95	
PCB-205	9440	9360	9540	25700	5230	103
PCB-206	184000	194000	206000	476000	84900	93.9
PCB-207	14600	12300	14400	37400	7170	
PCB-208	30700	29000	30700	174000	25400	95.8
PCB-209	17100	16400	16000	91100	18400	89.3

IQ = Interpretive Qualifier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Analyzed for PCBs only due to limited sample size.

## Falcon Egg PCB Homologue

	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3	Rip Van Winkle Nest #1	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2
CLIENT ID	ESU 1203a	ESU 1203b	ESU 1203c	ESU 1219a <sup>1</sup>	ESU 1219b
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-4	L5214-5
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)
Percent Moisture	85	84	85	30	82
Percent Lipids	3.2	2.8	3.2	25	5.5
	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ
Total Monochloro Biphenyls	0.846 U	1.03	0.498 U	3.74	2.03
Total Dichloro Biphenyls	16.9	15.7	18.5	2540	493
Total Trichloro Biphenyls	2560	2510	3050	486000	89600
Total Tetrachloro Biphenyls	32100	32500	39800	1730000	352000
Total Pentachloro Biphenyls	188000	215000	231000	3810000	775000
Total Hexachloro Biphenyls	1790000	2160000	2380000	13800000	2880000
Total Heptachloro Biphenyls	2190000	2660000	2540000	10700000	1920000
Total Octachloro Biphenyls	843000	987000	918000	2930000	537000
Total Nonachloro Biphenyls	229000	236000	251000	686000	117000
Decachloro Biphenyl	17100	16400	16000	91100	18400
TOTAL PCBs	5290000	6310000	6370000	34200000	6690000

IQ = Interpretive Qualifier

 $<sup>\</sup>overset{\centerdot}{\text{\ }}$  Analyzed for PCBs only due to limited sample size.

Falcon Egg Pesticide

	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2	SPIKED
CLIENT ID	ESU 1203a	ESU 1203b	ESU 1203c	ESU 1219b	MATRIX
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-5	WG7272-103
UNITS	ng/g (wet)	ng/g (wet)	ng/g (wet)	ng/g (wet)	% REC
Percent Moisture	85	84	85	82	
Percent Lipids	3.2	2.8	3.2	5.5	
	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	
Hexachlorobenzene	1.54	1.46	1.74	8.86	97.6
HCH, alpha	0.083	0.08	0.043	0.025	86
HCH, beta	0.828	0.809	1.1	1.92	102
HCH, gamma	0.02	0.042	0.135	0.395	95.1
Heptachlor	0.002	0.0035 U	0.004 U	0.0098 U	97.5
Aldrin	0.017	0.018	0.022 U	0.046	96
Chlordane, oxy-	48.4	45.9	19.5	43	96.1
Chlordane, gamma (trans)	0.288	0.28	0.31	0.671	94.5
Chlordane, alpha (cis)	0.459	0.565	1.27	13.2	98.6
Nonachlor, trans-	3.3	3.26	4.2	81	97.7
Nonachlor, cis-	0.922	0.853	0.99	6.06	101
2,4'-DDD	0.0108 U	0.0095 U	0.0061 U	0.197 U	108
4,4'-DDD	8.22	7.37	8.41	9.59	100
2,4'-DDE	0.0058 U	0.0077 U	0.0055 U	0.0190 U	108
4,4'-DDE	1390	1560	1180	10700	119
2,4'-DDT	0.0124 U	0.0110 U	0.0070 U	0.0114 U	93.5
4,4'-DDT	0.018	0.119	0.104	34.9	93.4
Mirex	25.6	24.7	36.7	96.5	98.2
Heptachlor Epoxide	12.4	13.1	17.1	43.5	102
alpha-Endosulphan	0.0112 U	0.0155 U	0.0260 U	0.0392 U	95
Dieldrin	11.6	10.8	14.4	109	99.8
Endrin	0.072	0.071	0.113 J	0.2 J	98.8
beta-Endosulphan	0.0173 U	0.0222 U	0.0377 U	0.0975 U	91.8
Endosulphan Sulphate	0.0163 U	0.0209 U	0.0355 U	0.0918 U	92.1
Endrin Aldehyde	0.0010 U	0.005	0.0007 U	0.0012 U	80.6
Endrin Ketone	0.007	0.0060	0.0062 U	0.0057 U	91.8
Methoxychlor	0.0026 U	0.0034 U	0.0039 U	0.0032 U	103

## Falcon Egg Aroclor

	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3	Rip Van Winkle Nest #1	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2
CLIENT ID	ESU 1203a	ESU 1203b	ESU 1203c	ESU 1219a <sup>1</sup>	ESU 1219b
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-4	L5214-5
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)
Percent Moisture	85	84	85	30	82
Percent Lipids	3.2	2.8	3.2	25	5.5
	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ
Aroclor 1016	3.43 UJ	2.56 UJ	4.41 UJ	190 UJ	85.1 UJ
Aroclor 1221	1.25 UJ	1.33 UJ	2.29 UJ	6.21 UJ	3.49 UJ
Aroclor 1232	4.04 UJ	2.66 UJ	2.60 UJ	7.19 UJ	3.79 UJ
Aroclor 1242	7510 J	7360 J	8970 J	1450000 J	267000 J
Aroclor 1248	9.48 UJ	6.41 UJ	6.54 UJ	6470 UJ	1540 UJ
Aroclor 1254	413000 J	488000 J	463000 J	10700000 J	1950000 J
Aroclor 1260	8670000 J	10800000 J	10100000 J	34200000 J	5840000 J

IQ = Interpretive Qualifier

Analyzed for PCBs only due to limited sample size.

Falcon Egg Dioxin

	Duran Managrial Dridge #1	Duran Managrial Dridge #2	Duran Managrial Dridge #2	Din Van Winds Nact #2	
CLIENT ID	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1 ESU 1203a	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2 ESU 1203b	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3 ESU 1203c	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2 ESU 1219b	SPIKED MATRIX
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-5	WG7275-102
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	% REC
Percent Moisture		84	85	82	
Percent Lipids	1	2.8	3.2	5.5	
	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	
2,3,7,8-TCDD	1.01	1.05	1.31	3.24	95.1
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	4.52	4.34	5.25	7.08	97
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	4.09	3.88	4.71	5.35	92.2
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	15.4	15	17.6	13.9	96.1
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	1.76	1.65	2.06	2.44	94.6
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	19.2	17.4	19.4	29.4	95.1
OCDD	12	8.58	7.42	147	96.8
2,3,7,8-TCDF(C)	1.15	1.07	1.41	3.04	98.5
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	0.183	0.166	0.24	0.333	96.7
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	4.1	3.95	4.64	4.57	95.1
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	2.48	2.39	2.7	1.66	93.4
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	2.12	2.14	2.41	1.74	96.3
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	0.0400 U	0.0370 U	0.0390 U	0.048 U	95.8
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	1.13	1.15	1.29	1	96.7
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	2.87	2.42	2.55	0.973	96.9
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	0.323	0.253	0.247	0.121	95.9
OCDF	0.497	0.342	0.281	0.301	91.1
Total Tetra-Dioxins	1.01	1.09	1.31	3.29	
Total Penta-Dioxins	4.54	4.34	5.25	7.18	
Total Hexa-Dioxins	21.7	20.5	24.5	22	
Total Hepta-Dioxins	19.7	17.6	19.6	30.1	
Total Tetra-Furans	1.26	1.15	1.35	4.58	
Total Penta-Furans	4.28	4.4	5.28	5.7	
Total Hexa-Furans	7.39	6.77	7.75	4.61	
Total Hepta-Furans	4.37	3.35	3.39	1.25	

Falcon Egg Polybrominated Diphenylether (PBDE) Congener

	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2	
CLIENT ID	ESU 1203a	ESU 1203b	ESU 1203c	ESU 1219b	SPIKED MATRIX
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-5	WG7272-103
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	% REC
Percent Moisture	85	84	85	82	
Percent Lipids	3.2	2.8	3.2	5.5	
•	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	
PBDE-1	105 UJ	119 UJ	104 UJ	785 UJ	
PBDE-2	105 UJ	119 UJ	104 UJ	785 UJ	92.4
PBDE-3	105 UJ	119 UJ	104 UJ	785 UJ	
PBDE-7	8.26 UJ	7.62 UJ	6.81 UJ	74.5 UJ	
PBDE-8 + 11	8.26 UJ	7.62 UJ	6.81 UJ	74.5 UJ	98.8
PBDE-10	8.26 UJ	7.62 UJ	6.81 UJ	74.5 UJ	
PBDE-12	8.26 UJ	7.62 UJ	6.81 UJ	74.5 UJ	
PBDE-13	8.26 UJ	8.75 UJ	6.81 UJ	74.5 UJ	
PBDE-15	8.26 UJ	7.62 UJ	6.81 UJ	74.5 UJ	
PBDE-17	13.9 UJ	20.6 UJ	14.2 UJ	181 UJ	95.7
PBDE-25	13.4 UJ	19.8 UJ	13.7 UJ	174 UJ	
PBDE-28 + 33	12.9 UJ	19.1 UJ	13.2 UJ	168 UJ	96.3
PBDE-30	13.4 UJ	19.8 UJ	13.7 UJ	174 UJ	
PBDE-32	13.4 UJ	19.8 UJ	13.7 UJ	174 UJ	
PBDE-35	13.4 UJ	19.8 UJ	13.7 UJ	174 UJ	
PBDE-37	13.4 UJ	19.8 UJ	13.7 UJ	174 UJ	
PBDE-47	926 J	818 J	826 J	47400 J	101
PBDE-49	19.2 UJ	16.8 UJ	18.1 UJ	233 UJ	
PBDE-66	26.5 UJ	23.4 UJ	25.1 UJ	328 UJ	94.6
PBDE-71	19.2 UJ	16.8 UJ	18.1 UJ	233 UJ	
PBDE-75	18.6 UJ	16.5 UJ	17.7 UJ	231 UJ	91
PBDE-77	19.2 UJ	16.8 UJ	18.1 UJ	233 UJ	
PBDE-85	66.9 UJ	88.8 UJ	61.6 UJ	953 J	91.1
PBDE-99	9420 J	8860 J	8960 J	123000 J	105
PBDE-100	2470 J	2330 J	2500 J	41500 J	99.7
PBDE-105	45.5 UJ	55.9 UJ	39.8 UJ	517 UJ	
PBDE-116	45.5 UJ	55.9 UJ	39.8 UJ	517 UJ	
PBDE-119	375 J	444 J	384 J	517 UJ	
PBDE-126	45.5 UJ	55.9 UJ	39.8 UJ	517 UJ	
PBDE-138 + 166	437 UJ	413 J	396 J	1210 UJ	96

## Falcon Egg Polybrominated Diphenylether (PBDE) Congener

	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2	
CLIENT ID	ESU 1203a	ESU 1203b	ESU 1203c	ESU 1219b	SPIKED MATRIX
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-5	WG7272-103
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	% REC
Percent Moisture	85	84	85	82	
Percent Lipids	3.2	2.8	3.2	5.5	
	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	
PBDE-140	182 J	15.9 UJ	22.0 UJ	305 UJ	
PBDE-153	92200 J	87500 J	85200 J	68200 J	102
PBDE-154	7170 J	6970 J	6990 J	19700 J	99.2
PBDE-155	65.4 UJ	57.1 UJ	47.7 J	653 UJ	
PBDE-181	486 UJ	437 J	470 J	428 UJ	
PBDE-183	35700 J	33700 J	33300 J	9390 J	97.3
PBDE-190	392 J	392 J	357 UJ	678 UJ	95.9
PBDE-206	184 UJ	159 UJ	164 UJ	242 UJ	
PBDE-207	3600 J	3160 J	3170 J	1920 UJ	
PBDE-208	1240 J	1080 J	839 J	609 J	
PBDE-209	9810 J	8230 J	8480 UJ	6740 UJ	96.7

#### Falcon Egg PBDE Homologues

CLIENT ID	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-5
UNITS	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)	pg/g (wet)
Percent Moisture	85	84	85	82
Percent Lipids	3.2	2.8	3.2	5.5
	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ
Total MonoBDE	156 UJ	119 UJ	121 UJ	1050 UJ
Total DiBDE	8.26 UJ	7.62 UJ	6.81 UJ	74.5 UJ
Total TriBDE	58.8 J	78.5 J	17.2 J	1240 J
Total TetraBDE	926 J	818 J	826 J	47400 J
Total PentaBDE	20400 J	19100 J	18800 J	174000 J
Total HexaBDE	99600 J	95600 J	92900 J	90000 J
Total HeptaBDE	50100 J	48500 J	47100 J	14800 J
Total OctaBDE	42900 J	39700 J	39700 J	6270 J
Total NonaBDE	4850 J	4250 J	4000 J	609 J
Total DecaBDE	9810 J	8230 J	8480 J	6740 J

#### Falcon Egg Metals

CLIENT ID	Dunn Memorial Bridge #1	Dunn Memorial Bridge #2	Dunn Memorial Bridge #3	Rip Van Winkle Nest #2
LAB ID	L5214-1	L5214-2	L5214-3	L5214-5
UNITS - Hg	ng/g (wet)	ng/g (wet)	ng/g (wet)	ng/g (wet)
UNITS - Cd / Pb	mg/kg (wet)	mg/kg (wet)	mg/kg (wet)	mg/kg (wet)
Percent Moisture	85	84	85	82
Percent Lipids	3.2	2.8	3.2	5.5
	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ
Cadmium	0.001 U	0.001 U	0.001 U	0.001 U
Mercury	7.78	7.16	36.8	61.3
Lead	0.035 J	0.021 J	0.035 J	0.006 UJ





